arXiv:0804.0756v1 [math.AC] 4 Apr 2008

Betti numbers of mixed product ideals

Giancarlo Rinaldo

Abstract. We compute the Betti numbers of the resolution of a special class of square-free monomial ideals, the ideals of mixed products. Moreover when these ideals are Cohen-Macaulay we calculate their type.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 13P10; Secondary 13B25.

Keywords. Mixed product ideals, Betti numbers, Cohen-Macaulay rings.

1. Introduction

The class of ideals of mixed products is a special class of square-free monomial ideals. They were first introduced by G. Restuccia and R. Villarreal (see [6] and [7]), who studied the normality of such ideals.

In [4] C. Ionescu and G. Rinaldo studied the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, the depth and dimension of mixed product ideals and characterize when they are Cohen-Macaulay.

Let $S = K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K in two disjoint sets of variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$. The *ideals of mixed products* are the ideals

$$I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t, \quad q, r, s, t \in \mathbf{N}, \quad q + r = s + t,$$

where I_l (resp. J_p) is the ideal of S generated by all the square-free monomials of degree l (resp. p) in the variables $\mathbf{x}$ (resp. $\mathbf{y}$). We set $I_0 = J_0 = S$. By symmetry, essentially there are 2 cases:

i) $L = I_kJ_r + I_sJ_t,\ 0 \leq k < s$;
ii) $L = I_kJ_r,\ k \geq 1$ or $r \geq 1$.

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the minimal free resolution of an ideal I:

$$\mathbb{F}: \ 0 \to F_p \to \cdots \to F_{p-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to I \to 0$$

and let $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$.

We want to calculate the Betti numbers, β_{ij}, when I is a mixed product ideals.

To reach this goal we consider S/I as a Stanley-Reisner ring (see [1], Chapter 5), we calculate the Alexander duality of I and we use the Hochster's formula (see [1], Chapter 5.5 or [5], Chapter 1.5).

In section 2 we calculate the dual of the mixed product ideal I_q and I_qJ_r.

Hochster's formula give us a powerful tool to calculate Betti numbers of the resolution of a squarefree monomial ideal I. For this reason we have to calculate I^* and the homology of the chain complex of the simplicial complex Δ^* related to I^* (section 3).

In the last section as an application we compute the type of Cohen-Macaulay mixed product ideals.

2. Alexander duality of mixed product ideals

We recall the following (see [5], Definition 1.35):

Definition 2.1. Let $I = (\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_q}) \subset K[\mathbf{x}] = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a square-free monomial ideal, with $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_n}) \in \{0,1\}^n$. The *Alexander dual* of I is the ideal

$$I^* = \bigcap_{i=1}^{q} \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_i},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_i} = (x_j : \alpha_{i_j} = 1)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $I_q \subset S$ with $1 \le q \le n$. Then*

$$I_q^* = I_{n-q+1}.$$

Proof. Let $I_q = (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_q} : 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_q \le n)$, we consider I_q as a Stanley-Reisner ideal of the simplicial complex Δ, that is

$$I_q = I_\Delta = (x^\tau : \tau \notin \Delta),$$

where $\tau = \{i_1, \ldots, i_q\}$ and $x^\tau = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_q}$.

This implies that the simplicial complex Δ is pure and its facets are all the facets of dimension $q-2$, that are $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{q-1}\}$ with $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_{q-1} \le n$.

We want to calculate $\Delta^* = \{\overline{\tau} : \tau \notin \Delta\}$. If we consider a simplex $\sigma \in \Delta$ such that $|\sigma| < q-1$ then $\sigma \cup \{i\} \in \Delta$. Therefore to obtain a minimal non face of Δ we have to consider a facet in Δ and add a vertex not in the facet. That is $\tau = \{i_1, \ldots, i_q\}$, with $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_q \le n$.

Therefore $\overline{\tau} = \{i'_1, \ldots, i'_{n-q}\}$, with $1 \le i'_1 < \cdots < i'_{n-q} \le n$, are the facets of Δ^*. The minimal non faces of Δ^* are $\{i'_1, \ldots, i'_{n-q+1}\}$ and its Stanley-Reisner ideal is

$$I_{\Delta^*} = (x_{i'_1} \cdots x_{i'_{n-q+1}} : 1 \le i'_1 < \cdots < i'_{n-q+1} \le n) = I_{n-q+1}.$$

□

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$, $(I_q + J_r) \subset S$ *with* $1 \leq q \leq n$, $1 \leq r \leq m$. *Then*

$$(I_q + J_r)^* = I_{n-q+1} J_{m-r+1}.$$

Proof. Let

$$I_q + J_r = (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_q}, y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_r} : 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_r \leq m),$$

by Alexander duality we have

$$(I_q + J_r)^* = (\bigcap_\alpha \mathfrak{m}_\alpha) \cap (\bigcap_\beta \mathfrak{n}_\beta),$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_q})$, $\mathfrak{n}_\beta = (y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_r})$. By the coprimality of $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ we obtain

$$(\bigcap_\alpha \mathfrak{m}_\alpha) \cap (\bigcap_\beta \mathfrak{n}_\beta) = (\bigcap_\alpha \mathfrak{m}_\alpha)(\bigcap_\beta \mathfrak{n}_\beta) = (I_q)^*(J_r)^*,$$

and by Proposition 2.2 we have the assertion.

□

Corollary 2.4. *Let* $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$, $I_q J_r \subset S$ *with* $1 \leq q \leq n$, $1 \leq r \leq m$. *Then*

$$(I_q J_r)^* = I_{n-q+1} + J_{m-r+1}.$$

Proof. By Alexander duality $(I^*)^* = I$, therefore the assertion follows by Proposition 2.3. □

Remark 2.5. We observe that in general the class of mixed product ideals is not closed under Alexander duality. In fact

$$(I_q J_r + I_s J_t)^* = I_{n-q+1} + I_{n-s+1} J_{m-r+1} + J_{m-t+1},$$

with $1 \leq q < s \leq n,\ 1 \leq t < r \leq m$.

To calculate this duality we observe that

$$(I_q J_r + I_s J_t)^* = (\bigcap_{\alpha,\beta} (\mathfrak{m}_\alpha + \mathfrak{n}_\beta)) \cap (\bigcap_{\gamma,\delta} (\mathfrak{m}_\gamma + \mathfrak{n}_\delta)),$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_q})$, $\mathfrak{n}_\beta = (y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_r})$, $\mathfrak{m}_\gamma = (x_{i'_1}, \dots, x_{i'_s})$ and $\mathfrak{n}_\delta = (y_{j'_1}, \dots, y_{j'_t})$.

By Corollary 2.4 we obtain

$$(\bigcap_{\alpha,\beta} \mathfrak{m}_\alpha + \mathfrak{n}_\beta) \cap (\bigcap_{\gamma,\delta} \mathfrak{m}_\gamma + \mathfrak{n}_\delta) = (I_{n-q+1} + J_{m-r+1}) \cap (I_{n-s+1} + J_{m-t+1}),$$

and since $I_{n-s+1} \supset I_{n-q+1}$, $J_{m-r+1} \supset J_{m-t+1}$ we have the assertion.

3. Betti numbers of mixed product ideals

Let K be a field, $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring, $I \subset S$, and let $\mathbb{F}$ be the minimal free resolution of the ideal I. Then

$$\mathbb{F}: \ 0 \to F_p \to \cdots \to F_{p-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to I \to 0$$

with $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$. We want to calculate the Betti numbers, β_{ij}, when I is a mixed product ideals.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $I_q \subset S$ with $1 \le q \le n$.*

$$\beta_i(I_q) = \beta_{i,q+i}(I_q) = \binom{n}{q+i}\binom{q+i-1}{i}, \qquad i \ge 0.$$

Proof. We observe that I_q has a q-linear resolution (see Example 2.2, [3]) therefore the Betti number of each free-module in the FFR of I_q is

$$\beta_i(I_q) = \beta_{i,q+i}(I_q).$$

Let $I_\Delta = I_q$, where Δ is the simplicial complex defined in the proof of Proposition 2.2. By Hochster's formula (see Corollary 1.40, [5]) we have

$$\beta_{i,q+i}(I_\Delta) = \sum_{|\sigma|=q+i} \beta_{i,\sigma}(I_\Delta) = \sum_{\overline{\sigma}} \dim_K \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta^*}(\overline{\sigma}; K).$$

By the symmetry between simplices in Δ of the same dimension, we obtain

$$\sum_{\overline{\sigma}} \dim_K \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta^*}(\overline{\sigma}; K) = \binom{n}{q+i} \dim_K \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta^*}(\overline{\sigma}_0; K)$$

where $\sigma_0 = \{1, \dots, q+i\} \in \Delta$, $\overline{\sigma}_0 = \{q+i+1, \dots, n\} \in \Delta^*$ and $\binom{n}{q+i}$ is the number of simplices of dimension $q+i-1$ in Δ.

Since $I_{\Delta^*} = I_{n-q+1}$ (see Proposition 2.2) the facets of Δ^* are $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-q}\}$, $1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_{n-q} \le n$, therefore

$$\mathrm{link}\,\overline{\sigma}_0 = \{\{1, \dots, i\}, \dots, \{q+1, \dots, q+i\}\}.$$

The chain complex of $\mathrm{link}\,\overline{\sigma}_0$ is

$$0 \to C_{i-1} \overset{\partial_{i-1}}{\to} \cdots \overset{\partial_1}{\to} C_0 \overset{\partial_0}{\to} C_{-1} \to 0,$$

where $\dim_K C_j = \binom{q+i}{j+1}$, $j = -1, 0, \dots, i-1$.

It is easy to observe that $\widetilde{H}_j(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta^*}(\overline{\sigma}_0; K)) = 0$, for $j = 0, \dots, i-2$, since it is the "truncated" chain complex of the simplex with $q+i$ vertices, σ_0. Therefore we need to calculate $\dim_K(\widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta^*}(\overline{\sigma}_0; K)) \cong \ker \partial_{i-1})$.

We want to show that $\dim_K \ker \partial_{j-1} = \binom{q+i-1}{j}$ and we make induction on the length of the exact sequence of vector spaces, j,

$$0 \to \ker \partial_{j-1} \to C_{j-1} \overset{\partial_{j-1}}{\to} \cdots \overset{\partial_1}{\to} C_0 \overset{\partial_0}{\to} C_{-1} \to 0.$$

For $j = 1$ we obtain

$$0 \to \ker \partial_0 \to C_0 \overset{\partial_0}{\to} C_{-1} \to 0$$

and

$$\dim_K \ker \partial_0 = \dim_K C_0 - \dim_K C_{-1} = q + i - 1$$

as expected.

Let $\dim_K \ker \partial_{j-1} = \binom{q+i-1}{j}$. We consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker \partial_j \to C_j \overset{\partial_j}{\to} \ker \partial_{j-1} \to 0,$$

and since

$$\binom{q+i-1}{j+1} + \binom{q+i-1}{j} = \binom{q+i}{j+1}$$

we obtain the assertion. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$, $I_qJ_r \subset S$ with $1 \le q \le n$, $1 \le r \le m$. Then for $i \ge 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i(I_qJ_r) &= \beta_{i,q+r+i}(I_qJ_r) \\ &= \sum_{j+k=i} \binom{n}{q+j}\binom{m}{r+k}\binom{q+j-1}{j}\binom{r+k-1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since I_qJ_r has a $(q+r)$-linear resolution (see Lemma 2.5, [4]) the Betti number of each free-module in the FFR of I_qJ_r is

$$\beta_i(I_qJ_r) = \beta_{i,q+r+i}(I_qJ_r).$$

Let $I_\Delta = I_qJ_r$, by Hochster's formula we have that

$$\beta_{i,q+r+i}(I_\Delta) = \sum_{|\sigma|=q+r+i} \beta_{i,\sigma}(I_\Delta) = \sum_{\overline{\sigma}} \dim_K \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta^*}(\overline{\sigma}; K).$$

Let deg a bidegree on the ring $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$, where $\deg(x_i) = (1, 0)$ and $\deg(y_j) = (0, 1)$.

It is easy to observe that the number of squarefree monomials in S of the same bidegree $(q + j, r + k)$ is

$$\binom{n}{q+j}\binom{m}{r+k}.$$

By the symmetry between simplices with the "same bidegree" in Δ, we fix $\sigma_0 = \{x_1, \dots, x_{q+j}, y_1, \dots, y_{r+k}\}$ and its corresponding Alexander dual $\overline{\sigma}_0 = \{x_{q+j+1}, \dots, x_n, y_{r+k+1}, \dots, y_m\} \in \Delta^*$ and calculate

$$\dim_K \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta^*}(\overline{\sigma}_0; K),$$

with $i = j + k$.

By Corollary 2.4, $I_{\Delta^*} = I_{n-q+1} + J_{m-r+1}$, and the facets of Δ^* are

$$\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-q}}, y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_{m-r}}\},$$

$1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_{n-q} \leq n$, $1 \leq j_1 < \ldots < j_{m-r} \leq m$, therefore

$$\operatorname{link} \overline{\sigma}_0 = \{\{x_1, \ldots, x_j, y_1, \ldots, y_k\}, \ldots, \{x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_{q+j}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{r+k}\}\}.$$

Let $\sigma_x = \{\{x_1, \ldots, x_j\}, \ldots, \{x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_{q+j}\}\}$ and let

$$\mathbb{C}_x : 0 \to C_{j-1} \overset{\partial_{j-1}}{\to} \cdots \overset{\partial_1}{\to} C_0 \overset{\partial_0}{\to} C_{-1} \to 0,$$

the chain complex of σ_x, let $\sigma_y = \{\{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}, \ldots, \{y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{r+k}\}\}$ and let

$$\mathbb{C}_y : 0 \to C_{k-1} \overset{\partial_{k-1}}{\to} \cdots \overset{\partial_1}{\to} C_0 \overset{\partial_0}{\to} C_{-1} \to 0,$$

the chain complex of σ_y.

It is easy to see that the tensor product of the two complexes, $\mathbb{C}_x \otimes \mathbb{C}_y$, is the chain complex of $\operatorname{link} \overline{\sigma}_0$.

Therefore $\widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\operatorname{link}_{\Delta^*}(\overline{\sigma}_0; K)$, with $i = j + k$, is isomorphic to $\ker \partial_{j-1} \otimes \ker \partial_{k-1}$, and from Lemma 3.1 the assertion follows.

□

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m]$, $(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t) \subset S$ *with* $0 \leq q < s \leq n$, $0 \leq t < r \leq m$. *Then*

$$\beta_i(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t) = \beta_i(I_qJ_r) + \beta_i(I_sJ_t) + \beta_{i-1}(I_sJ_r), \qquad i \geq 1.$$

Proof. We consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to S/I_sJ_r \to S/I_qJ_r \oplus S/I_sJ_t \to S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t) \to 0. \tag{3.1}$$

We want to study $\operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t))_{i+j}$. By the sequence (3.1) we obtain the long exact sequence of Tor

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \to \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/I_sJ_r)_{i+j} &\to \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/I_qJ_r \oplus S/I_sJ_t)_{i+j} \to \\ \to \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t))_{i+j} &\to \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(K, S/I_sJ_r)_{i+j} \to \cdots \\ \to \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(K, S/I_qJ_r \oplus S/I_sJ_t)_{i+j} &\to \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(K, S/I_sJ_r)_{i+j} \to \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $S/(I_kJ_l)$ has a $(k+l-1)$-linear resolution therefore the Betti number of each free-module in the FFR of S/I_kJ_l is

$$\beta_i(S/I_kJ_l) = \beta_{i,k+l+i-1}(S/I_kJ_l).$$

This implies that if $j \notin \{q + r - 1, s + t - 1, s + r - 2\}$, $\operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/I_qJ_r \oplus S/I_sJ_t)_{i+j} = 0$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(K, S/I_sJ_r)_{i+j} = 0$, that is

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t))_{i+j} = 0.$$

Therefore we have to study the following cases:

1) $j = s + r - 2$;
2) $j = q + r - 1$;
3) $j = s + t - 1$.

We may assume $q+r \geq s+t$.

1) Let $j = s+r-2$. Then $\operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/I_sJ_r)_{i+j} = 0$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(K, S/I_qJ_r \oplus S/I_sJ_t)_{i+j} = 0$. Therefore we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/I_qJ_r \oplus S/I_sJ_t)_{i+j} \to \\ \to \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t))_{i+j} \to \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(K, S/I_sJ_r)_{i+j} \to 0.$$

If $s+r-2 > q+r-1$ we obtain

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t))_{i+j} \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(K, S/I_sJ_r)_{i+j},$$

and to finish we have to study degree 2) and 3).

If $s+r-2 = q+r-1 > s+t+1$, that is degree 1) and 2) coincide, we obtain

$$\dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t))_{i+j} = \\ \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/I_qJ_r)_{i+j} + \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(K, S/I_sJ_r)_{i+j},$$

and we have to study degree 3) to finish.

If $s+r-2 = q+r-1 = s+t+1$, that is cases 1), 2) and 3) coincide,

$$\dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t))_{i+j} = \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/I_qJ_r)_{i+j} + \\ + \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/I_sJ_t)_{i+j} + \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(K, S/I_sJ_r)_{i+j},$$

and this case is complete.

2) The case $j = q+r-1 = s+r-2$ has been already studied in case 1), therefore we assume $j = q+r-1 < s+r-2$. We obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/I_qJ_r \oplus S/I_sJ_t)_{i+j} \to \operatorname{Tor}_i(K, S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t))_{i+j} \to 0$$

and we continue as in case 1).

3) This case is similar to 2).

The assertion follows. □

Remark 3.4. For completness we compute the Betti numbers of $(I_s + I_qJ_t + J_r)$ (see Remark 2.5). That is

$$\beta_i(I_s + I_qJ_t + J_r) = \beta_i(I_s) + \beta_i(I_qJ_t) + \beta_i(J_r) + \beta_{i-1}(I_qJ_r) + \beta_{i-1}(I_sJ_t), \qquad i \geq 1.$$

To prove this is enough to observe that $(I_s) \cap (I_qJ_t + J_r) = I_sJ_t + I_sJ_r = I_sJ_t$ and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to S/I_sJ_t \to S/I_s \oplus S/(I_qJ_t + J_r) \to S/(I_s + I_qJ_t + J_r) \to 0. \tag{3.2}$$

To obtain the assertion we continue as in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

4. Cohen-Macaulay Type of mixed product ideals

Let K be a field, $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring, $I \subset S$ a graded ideal. We consider S/I as a standard graded K-algebra.

We have the following (see [7], Proposition 4.3.4):

Proposition 4.1. *Let S/I be a Cohen-Macaulay ring then the type of S/I is equal to the last Betti number in the minimal free resolution of S/I as an S-module.*

In [4] we classified the Cohen-Macaulay algebra S/I when I is a mixed product ideal. From now on we say CM for short.

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$.

1. *Let* $0 < q \leq n$ *then* S/I_q *is CM with* $\dim S/I_q = m + q - 1$*;*
2. *Let* $0 < q \leq n$ *and* $0 < r \leq m$ *then* $S/(I_q J_r)$ *is CM if and only if* $r = m$ *and* $q = n$ *with* $\dim S/I_n J_m = m + n - 1$*;*
3. *Let* $0 < q < s \leq n$ *and* $0 < r \leq m$ *then* $S/(I_q J_r + I_s)$ *is CM if and only if* $s = q + 1$ *and* $r = m$ *with* $\dim S/(I_q J_m + I_{q+1}) = m + q - 1$*;*
4. *Let* $0 < q < s \leq n$ *and* $0 < t < r \leq m$ *then* $S/(I_q J_r + I_s J_t)$ *is CM if and only if* $r = m$, $s = n$, $t = m-1$, $q = n-1$ *with* $\dim S/(I_{n-1} J_m + I_n J_{m-1}) = m+n-2$.

Proof. See Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.8 of [4], and observe that a CM ideal is unmixed. □

By the results of Section 3 we want to calculate the type of the CM algebras classified in Proposition 4.2.

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $I_q \subset S$ *and* $1 \leq q \leq n$ *then*

$$\operatorname{type} S/I_q = \binom{n-1}{n-q}$$

Proof. By Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem (Theorem 1.3.3, [1]) and Proposition 4.2.(1), we have

$$\operatorname{pd} S/I_q = \dim S - \operatorname{depth} S/I_q = \dim S - \dim S/I_q = n - q + 1.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and observing that $\beta_i(I_q) = \beta_{i+1}(S/I_q)$ we have

$$\operatorname{type}(S/I_q) = \beta_{n-q+1}(S/I_q) = \binom{n-1}{n-q}.$$

□

From now on let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let* $S/I_q J_r$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay algebra then*

$$\operatorname{type} S/I_q J_r = 1$$

with $q = n$, $r = m$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.2.(2), we have that $S/I_q J_r$ is CM if and only if $I_q J_r$ is a principal ideal and the assertion follows. □

Proposition 4.5. *Let* $S/(I_q J_r + I_s)$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay algebra then*

$$\operatorname{type} S/(I_q J_r + I_s) = \binom{n-1}{n-q} + \binom{n-1}{n-q-1}.$$

with $s = q + 1$ *and* $r = m$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.2.(3), we have that $S/(I_qJ_r + I_s)$ is CM if and only if $s = q + 1$ and $r = m$ therefore by Theorem 3.2.(2) of [4]

$$\dim S/(I_qJ_m + I_{q+1}) = m + q - 1.$$

By Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem we obtain

$$\operatorname{pd} S/(I_qJ_m + I_{q+1}) = \dim S - \dim S/(I_qJ_m + I_{q+1}) = n - q + 1.$$

Applying Theorem 3.3, with $i = n - q$, $s = q + 1$, $r = m$, we have

$$\beta_{n-q+1}(S/(I_qJ_m + I_{q+1})) = \beta_{n-q}(I_qJ_m) + \beta_{n-q}(I_{q+1}) + \beta_{n-q-1}(I_{q+1}J_m).$$

Since the $\operatorname{pd} S/I_{q+1} = n-q$, we have that $\operatorname{pd} I_{q+1} = n-q-1$, that is $\beta_{n-q}(I_{q+1}) = 0$.

Therefore

$$\beta_{n-q+1}(S/(I_qJ_m + I_{q+1})) = \beta_{n-q}(I_qJ_m) + \beta_{n-q-1}(I_{q+1}J_m),$$

and by Theorem 3.2

$$\beta_{n-q}(I_qJ_m) = \sum_{j+k=n-q} \binom{n}{q+j}\binom{m}{m+k}\binom{q+j-1}{j}\binom{m+k-1}{k},$$

$$\beta_{n-q-1}(I_{q+1}J_m) = \sum_{j+k=n-q-1} \binom{n}{q+1+j}\binom{m}{m+k}\binom{q+j}{j}\binom{m+k-1}{k}.$$

It is easy to see that for $k > 0$ the summands in $\beta_{n-q}(I_qJ_m)$ and in $\beta_{n-q-1}(I_{q+1}J_m)$ vanish.

If $k = 0$ we obtain the assertion. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let $S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t)$ be a Cohen-Macaulay algebra then*

$$\operatorname{type} S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t) = m + n - 1,$$

with $q = n - 1$, $r = m$, $s = n$, $t = m - 1$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.2.(4), we have that $S/(I_qJ_r + I_sJ_t)$ is CM if and only if $q = n - 1$, $r = m$, $s = n$, $t = m - 1$, therefore by Theorem 3.2.(2) [4]

$$\dim S/(I_{n-1}J_m + I_nJ_{m-1}) = m + n - 2.$$

By Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem we obtain

$$\operatorname{pd} S/(I_{n-1}J_m + I_nJ_{m-1}) = \dim S - \dim S/(I_{n-1}J_m + I_nJ_{m-1}) = 2.$$

Applying Theorem 3.3, with $i = 1$, $q = n - 1$, $r = m$, $s = n$, $t = m - 1$, we obtain

$$\beta_2(S/(I_{n-1}J_m + I_nJ_{m-1})) = \beta_1(I_{n-1}J_m) + \beta_1(I_nJ_{m-1}) + \beta_0(I_nJ_m).$$

We observe that I_nJ_m is a principal ideal therefore $\beta_0(I_nJ_m) = 1$.

By Theorem 3.2

$$\beta_1(I_{n-1}J_m) = \sum_{j+k=1} \binom{n}{n-1+j}\binom{m}{m+k}\binom{n-1+j-1}{j}\binom{m+k-1}{k},$$

$$\beta_1(I_nJ_{m-1}) = \sum_{j+k=1} \binom{n}{n+j}\binom{m}{m-1+k}\binom{n+j-1}{j}\binom{m-1+k-1}{k}.$$

It is easy to see that for $k > 0$ the summands in $\beta_1(I_{n-1}J_m)$ vanish. For $j > 0$ the same happens to $\beta_1(I_nJ_{m-1})$.

Therefore we obtain

$$\beta_2(S/(I_{n-1}J_m + I_nJ_{m-1})) = n - 1 + m - 1 + 1.$$

□

Remark 4.7. We observe that the mixed product ideal that are Gorenstein (e.g. CM ring of type 1), are:

1. $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I_n$;
2. $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$;
3. $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I_nJ_m$.

References

[1] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[2] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, Springer Verlag, New-York, 1994.

[3] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Cohen-Macaulay polymatroidal ideals*, Eur. J. Comb., **27** (2006), 513-517.

[4] C. Ionescu, G. Rinaldo, *Some algebraic invariants related to mixed product ideals*, Accepted for publication in Archiv der Mathematik.

[5] E. Miller, B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

[6] G. Restuccia, R. Villarreal, *On the normality of monomial ideals of mixed products*, Commun. Algebra, **29** (2001), 3571-3580.

[7] R. Villarreal, *Monomial algebras*, Marcel Dekker, New-York, 2001.

Giancarlo Rinaldo
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Messina
Contrada Papardo, salita Sperone, 31
98166 Messina, Italy
e-mail: `rinaldo@dipmat.unime.it`